EXHIBIT E

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	· ·	
RICHARD ENGLISH, HER HUSBAND		
122 WEST MORTON STREET	1	
OLD FORGE, PA 18518	: CIVIL ACTION NO.	
,	: 3: 13-CV-00978-MEM	
Plaintiffs	à	
V.	: (Judge Malachy E. Mannion)	
CROWN EQUIPMENT CORPORATION	:	
44 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET	*	
NEW BREMEN, OHIO 45869	*	
THE W BREITIER, OTHO 13009	*	
Defendant	*	
Defendant	*	
JOINT PROPOSED	VERDICT SLIP	
JOHN TROTOSED	VERDICT SEIT	
1. Do you find that the Crown Sto	ock Picker was defectively designed?	
V		
Yes	No	
If your answer to Question No. 1 is "		
3 2	Yes", proceed to Question No. 2.	
If your answer to Question No. 1 is " If your answer to Question No. 1 is "	Yes", proceed to Question No. 2. No" proceed to Question No. 3. e Crown Stock Picker a factual cause in	
If your answer to Question No. 1 is " If your answer to Question No. 1 is " 2. Was the defective design of the	Yes", proceed to Question No. 2. No" proceed to Question No. 3. e Crown Stock Picker a factual cause in	
If your answer to Question No. 1 is " If your answer to Question No. 1 is " 2. Was the defective design of the bringing about harm to the Plaintiff, Patricial	Yes", proceed to Question No. 2. No" proceed to Question No. 3. e Crown Stock Picker a factual cause in a English?	
If your answer to Question No. 1 is " If your answer to Question No. 1 is " 2. Was the defective design of the bringing about harm to the Plaintiff, Patricial Yes Please proceed to Question No. 3.	Yes", proceed to Question No. 2. No" proceed to Question No. 3. e Crown Stock Picker a factual cause in a English?	

•	our answer to Question No. 3 is 2, you should proceed to Quest	"No" but you answered "Yes" to Questio tion No. 8.	r	
	our answer to Question Nos. 1 one and you should return to the	or 2 and to Question No. 3 is "No", you Courtroom.	.11	
4. bringing al	Was the negligence of Crown bout the Plaintiff's harm?	Equipment Corporation a factual cause i	ir	
	Yes	No.		
If yo	our answer to Question No. 4 is	"Yes", proceed to Question No. 5.		
If yo	our answer to Question No. 4 is	"No", proceed to Question No. 8.		
5.	Was the Plaintiff, Patricia En	glish, contibutorily negligent?		
	Yes	No.		
If yo	our answer to Question No. 5 is	"Yes", proceed to Question No. 6.		
If yo	our answer to Question No. 5 is	"No", proceed to Question No. 8.		
6. cause in br	6. Was the contributory negligence of Plaintiff, Patricia English, a factual cause in bringing about her harm?			
	Yes	No.		
If yo	our answer to Question No. 6 is	"Yes", proceed to Question No. 7.		
If yo	our answer to Question No. 6 is	"No" proceed to Question No. 8.		
7.	What percentage of negligeno	ce do you assign to the:		
	Defendant, Crown Equ	ipment Corporation	9/	

If your answer to Question No. 3 is "Yes", proceed to Question No. 4.

	Plaintiff, Patricia English	
	Total	
and Questi	eed to Question No. 8 unless you have answered on No. 2 and you a have assigned more that tricia English.	
8. following:	Please state the amount of damages to compens	sate the Plaintiff for the
a.	a. Past, present and future pain and suffering, embarrassment and humiliation, and the loss of ability to enjoy life's pleasures;	
	production,	\$
b.	Past, present and future lost wages and loss of earning capacity;	
		\$
c.	Past, present and future medical expenses; and	
		\$
d.	Loss of consortium for Richard English.	
		\$
	Total	\$
DATE	FOREPI	ERSON